# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,184	12/01/2003	Brian K. Revill	050885-0306961	1330
20583	7590 10/24/2006		EXAM	INER
JONES DAY			PATEL, VISHAL A	
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
	•			

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/724,184	REVILL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vishal Patel	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	V 10 05T TO 5VDIDE 6 A	40.171.1(0) O.D. T.I.IDTT( (00) D.A.(0
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 100	October 2006.	·
·- ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,10,12,15-18,22,23,27 and 29</u>	is/are pending in the app	lication.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-4,7,9,10,12,15-18,22,23,27 and 29	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(e)		
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗆 Intention	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/724,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/06 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 15-18, 22-23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz (US. 5,035,185) in view of Breaker (US. 5,518,257).

Schultz discloses a gasket having a substantially square or rectangular unitary frame comprised of a plasticized resin and having an inner peripheral edge (inner edge 30), an outer peripheral edge (outer edge 32), an upper surface and a lower surface (upper and lower surfaces of 12) and the inner peripheral edge including a nose or protrusion (nose or protrusion 20) comprised of the resin wherein the nose or protrusion projects beyond the upper or the lower surfaces. The frame is formed as an integral unitary structure by welding at corners 16. The gasket is provided with holes (holes 18) to accommodate sealing bolts. The protrusion or nose extends around substantially the entire perimetral length of the frame and having a shape.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 15-18: The gasket of Schultz is capable of being placed on another gasket. the nose is provided on opposite sides thereof (the nose or protrusion is on the upper and lower surfaces of the gasket). The at least one side of the gasket is planar and wherein the frame is locally enlarged at its inner peripheral edge to form the nose which projects beyond the plane of the gasket on the at least one side thereof to effect sealing contact (method limitations given little patentable weight in an apparatus claim). Furthermore Schultz teaches to have the nose or protrusion on the inner peripheral edge of the frame. The cross-section of the frame is of rectangular cross-section with the dimension perpendicular to the gasket plane being smaller than the dimension in the plane of the gasket (figure 3).

Schultz discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the frame is formed as a monolithic frame. One-piece construction, in place of separate elements forming a whole is well known to one skilled in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the integral frame of Schultz to be formed as a monolithic frame, since providing one piece-construction in place of separate elements forming a single whole element is merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Kohno, 391 F.2d 959, 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968); In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965).

Schutlz discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the inner peripheral edge is coated with a polytetrafluoroethylene liner. Breaker discloses a gasket having an inner peripheral edge (inner peripheral edge of 510) and a PTFE liner (526) is placed on the inner peripheral edge. The liner is substantially uniform thickness. The liner is placed on the inner peripheral edge and also on top and bottom surfaces of the gasket, which

Art Unit: 3673

forms a U-shape liner. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the inner peripheral edge of Schultz to have a liner that is around the inner peripheral surface and top and bottom surfaces as taught by Breaker, to provide a protection against harsh environment for the gasket (function of PTFE).

4. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz and Breaker as applied to claims above, and further in view of Jelinek (US. 4,026,565).

Schultz and Breaker disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the inner peripheral edge is part-circular in cross-section and the nose or protrusion is of curved bulbous configuration. Jelinek disclose a frame that is formed by (63 or 45), the frame having an inner peripheral edge that is flat (42, figure 5) and the frame having an inner peripheral edge that is curved (62, figure 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the inner peripheral edge of Schultz and Breaker to be curved as taught by Jelinek, since having a flat edge or curved edge is considered to be art equivalent.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz and Breaker.

Schultz and Breaker disclose the claimed invention except for the resin to be EPDM. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the resin of Schultz and Breaker to be EPDM resin, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a know material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

October 17, 2006

Vishal Patel

Primary Examiner

Tech. Center 3600